



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/088,567 | 03/19/2002 | Shizuo Akira | 14119.105010 | 3078 |
| 65989 | 7590 | 10/16/2008 | | |
| KING & SPALDING 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-4003 | | | EXAMINER SINGH, ANOOB KUMAR | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1632 | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/16/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptomailnyc@kslaw.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/088,567

Applicant(s)

AKIRA ET AL.

Examiner

Anoop Singh

Art Unit

1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anoop Singh.

(3) _____.

(2) Mike Willis.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A telephone call was made to applicants' representative to amend the specification from page 3, last para through page 6, first para to remove the reference to the claims that have been canceled with recitation of number within quotes. Applicant's representative authorized the supplemental examiner's amendments to the specification on October 1, 2008.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.